

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,742 11/24/2003		11/24/2003	Lawrence W. Yonge III	04838-077001	2741
26161	7590	10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI P.O. BOX 1		SON PC		PATEL, C	HIRAG R
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2141	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,742	YONGE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chirag R. Patel	2141	

	Chirag R. Patel	2141						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1 136(a). The date	, -	136(a) and the appropria	to ovtonoion foo					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liana with 27 OFP 44 27 months	61 - 4						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(1.102.02.1).					
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-68</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation page. 		n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:	RUPAL D	HARIA	· ·					
·	SUPERVISORY PAT	EIAI EVVIAIIIAEU						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) As far as claim 1, "at least some of the low level data units each containing a plurality of the pieces", per Yi [0014] "a method of generating PDUs in a radio link control layer includes producing a payload unit by segmenting and/or concatenating one or more service data units received from a higher layer, generating a first PDU which includes a sequence number corresponding to the payload unit and a second PDU which includes the payload unit, and transmitting the first and second PDUs to a lower layer."

Further [0026], Yi discloses "Each PU is then divided into two independent PDUs: a first PDU (SN PDU) including a sequence number (SN) corresponding to the PU and an extension (E) field and the other PDU (LI+PU PDU) including the PU, one or more length indicators (LI), and one ore more extension (E) fields. The SN PDU contains the SN and E field if the RLC is set to an unacknowledged mode in which it is not required to transmit an acknowledgement signal to an originating system after a set of PDUs are transmitted, and it further contains a data/control (D/C), a polling (P), and a header extension (HE) field if the RLC is set to an acknowledged mode in which it is required to transmit the acknowledgement signal to the originating system."

The SN PDU contains the SN (sequence number) and the E (extension) field. The other independent PDU contains the length indicator (LI) and the E (extension) field. This reads on claim limitations "at least some of the low level data units" (the PDU) "each containing a plurality of the pieces" (SN, E, LI).

AUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEH